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## THE PARKING AUTHORITY OF TORONTO

His Worship Mayor David Crombie
and Members of the City of Toronto Executive Committee,
City Hall, Toronto, Ontario

## Your Worship and Gentlemen

Your Commissioners are pleased to submit to you this annual report of the 1974 operations of The Parking Authority of Toronto
During the year the Authority provided parking accommodation for approximately 9.2 million automobiles and collected $\$ 6,506,338$ in gross revenues
Net surplus of $\$ 1,841,437$ was returned to the City.
Many changes occurred in the Authority's operations during 1974
Last fall, the new head office and parking garage on Cumberland Street was completed, providing office accommodation for our staff as well as 1,000 parking spaces for motorists coming into the Yonge/Bay/Bloor area.
A new carpark, Number 116, at Glendonwynne Road and Kennedy Avenue, was acquired on lease of surplus subway lands from Metropolitan Toronto, providing space for 28 cars and a city parkette.
Carpark Number 8 at Eglinton Park with spaces for 148 cars was returned to the city in order that patrons of North Toronto Memorial Arena would be relieved of the burden of paying for parking at a city-owned recreation facility.
As well, the Authority transferred part of Carpark Number 16 on Cumberland Street to the city for the creation of an urban park. Forty-five spaces of the 122 spaces on this carpark were involved. The carpark is only a block away from the new Cumberland Street garage and motorists are therefore able to find alternate accommodation there.

Also during the year the Authority introduced a number of measures designed to assist in discouraging peak hour traffic and congestion in the downtown core.
In January a carpool incentive rate was put into effect at the commuter surface carpark at Bay and Front Streets. Cars carrying three or more people could park between 6 a.m. and 6 p.m. for a flat rate of .50 q . All other cars were excluded.

By April 1, having determined that the carpool usage appeared to have levelled out at about 140 cars, the Authority decided to accommodate cars carrying less than three persons by re-instituting the previous $.25 ¢$ hourly rate and $\$ 1.50$ daily maximum while retaining the carpool incentive rate.

At the same time, the Authority extended its carpool incentive rate experiment to its surface carpark at George and Front Sts. There the commuter rate was set at .35 q and the hourly rate left unchanged at $.20 \$$ per hour or $\$ 1.00$ maximum

Results of the year-long experiment have indicated clearly that the encouragement of car pooling among motorists coming into the downtown area is a difficult task that will require a great deal of encouragement and incentive over a long period. Experiments in other cities tend to bear this out

In February, the Authority undertook an experiment at three of its downtown parking garages designed to determine if removal of the daily maximum rate would discourage the all-day parker and thereby reduce peak hour traffic and congestion in the downtown core.

Questionnaires were distributed to former monthly parking customers and it became apparent from the answers that the removal of the maximum daily rate caused former parkers simply to relocate to private carparks nearby

As a result of this reaction, the Authority reinstituted the all-day maximum but imposed higher rates to customers entering and leaving during rush hour times

Of significant interest during the year was a move by the Authority to initiate a multiple-use study of all city-owned lands designated for use by the Authority. The Authority staff worked closely with housing and planning board staff and consultants to examine alternate ways for making more beneficial use of 45 sites. From these studies emerged proposals for multiple use development of such 10 sites, including housing and a recommendation that the Commissioner of Planning carry out preliminary feasibility studies on the remaining 35 sites.
The Authority has been most fortunate during the year to have received excellent co-operation from a great many citizens and organizations, both from within the municipal government structure and from without. Their assistance in helping the Authority provide the best possible municipal parking for our citizens and guests is greatly appreciated

It was with regret that the Commissioners accepted the resignation of our General Manager, Rober G. Bundy, after 16 years of dedicated service to the Authority and the citizens of Toronto. Mr. Bundy's able successor is Reginald W. Lewis, the former Deputy General Manager of the Authority.

Yours very truly,



## Meeting

Today's
Needs

A primary objective of The Parking Authority of Toronto is to provide a high-quality, low-cost system of off-
street municipal parking facilities street municipal parking facilities throughout the City. The system comprises -
Carparks for short-term parkers in the downtown core,
Carparks for all-day parkers on the downtown fringe and at public transit stations,
Carparks to serve neighbourhood business areas,
Carparks to serve residential areas.
Each part of the system offers challenges and problems that must be continually sudied and adjusted to meet new circumstances.


Downtown Parking
Foremost of these is the Authority's responsibility to help preserve the vitality and pre-eminent position of Central Toronto as the region's main area of commeritul, rail and quate off-street parking facilities. To this traditional role there has now been added the responsibility of in fluencing the kind of person who uses the facilities.
Each business day, between 7 a.m. and noon, more than 100,000 motor vehicles enter the mid- and downtow area. Almost half of them enter be tween $8 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m}$. and $10 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m}$. and leave be tween 4 p.m. and $6 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$.

During these times in some areas, the volume of private automobiles saturate the streets creating the frus trations of delays, pollution and accidents. Public transit vehicles are slowed, creating inefficiencies in movement of people

With the addition of millions of square feet of office space to the mid and downtown areas during the las few years, traffic congestion has in

Opp. page: Mid-town parking garage No.
11 at Rosehill, east of Yonge St.
Residential carpark No. 47 at Burnaby Blvd. Note decorative fence. Right: Neighbourhood ca

creased despite optimum use of such measures as computerized traffic lights, prohibition against rush hou street parking,
one-way streets.

In order to combat the growing congestion, new ways must be found to cope with the situation.

One of these is the adjusting of parking rates, designed to discourag drivers of private vehicles from en tering or leaving the area during rush hours. Many of the vehicles are owned by commuters who drive only to and cupant. The adjusting of parking rates at congested parts of the City aimed at ameliorating traffic conditions, mus be brought about in conjunction with offering attractive parking services at less congested locations.
However, of the approximately 60,000 parking spaces in the mid- and downtown area, only 11,000 are mu nicipally owned and administered by relatively small number, the Parking Authority is attempting, through experimentation, to determine the right mix" of parking rates that will effec tively deter some of these all-day
parkers, leaving the streets free for use by short-term parkers who enter the for shopping.
After a year of experimenting with rate differentials at the Authority's downtown parking garages, no clear ged of diver behaviour has emerof influence rate changes would have on all-day parkers. It appears that in order to affect peak hour patterns in
the downtown area of private carpark operators must be enlisted. Surveys have shown that with piece-meal adjustment of parking rates drivers will simply park elsewhere in the downtown area on private lots at cheaper rates.
The Authority also has been encouraging carpooling as a means of reducing peak hour traffic volume, with limited success. Carpooling experiments in Toronto and in other cities tend to bear out the proposition
that encouragement of carpooling among motorists coming into the downtown area will require a great deal of education and promotion over a long period of time to stand any
chance of success.



Commuter Carpark Another measure adopted by the Authority to discourage all-day parkthe downtown area is the use of a low all-day rate on Authority-operated carparks at subway terminals. Here, commuters are encouraged to park their cars cheaply and take the subway the rest of the way to their destinations. The combined parking than the cost of parking all day in downtown carpark. Funds derived from higher-rate downtown carparks are used to offset losses where they are incurred at the subway terminal carparks. However, most of these lots have reached saturation use and mor are needed. At must be maine to meet changing conditions as when a sub way route is extended and the terminal is changed. Since most of the subway commuter carparks are outside the geographical jurisdiction of the City of Toronto, acquisition and development of additional commuter co-operation of agencies of other municipalities.

Residential Parking
In contrast with daytime parking in downtown Toronto, night-time resi dential area parking presents an entirely different

Top: Off-street carpark No. 13 near St 6 Residential carpark No. 39.

Many of the older sections of the City were developed before the wide pread use of the automobile and conequently there was little or no provision for private off-street parking, et, in recent years, many of r people now living in these areas fequire automobiles to get to and fr
work in the City and the suburbs.

Experimentation with on-street permit parking has not entirely solved the problem and residents of many neighbourhoods still face the annoyance of periodic fines for parking overnight. With the recent increase in fines instituted by the City, the prob-
lems of providing economical accomlems of providing economical accom-
modation for residential areas becomes more acute.

The Authority maintains some neighbourhood carparks, but not enough to meet the need. And yet the acquisition of neighbourhood carparks is expensive and not always aesthetically appealing to residents. The
Authority is keenly aware of its reAuthority is keenly aware of its re-
sponsibility to protect the beauty of sponsibility to protect the beauty of
residential neighbourhoods and has an on-going programme of beautification for all of its carparks, some of it in the design of signage, lighting and enclosures, and some in plantings and shrubbery in co-operation with the Parks Development

However, the major problem involved in provision of residential offstreet parking is the cost. The Authority is required to be self-sustaining financially. High-yield downtown carparks can subsidize only limited area, therefore, must to a degree rearea, therefore, must to a degree rethe residential carparks. Rates, however, must be attractive enough to encourage people to remove their vehicles from the streets overnight. Because the cost to the resident of ca-
sual fines for leaving his vehicle on sual fines for leaving his vehicle on
the street overnight is less than the cost of off-street parking in an Authority carpark, many residents will opt for on-street parking, in the absence of vigorous enforcement of onstreet parking by-laws
One solution would be the diversion of fine income from the general acquire off-street residential carparks.


Once carparks are established, fines can be structured high enough and en forcement strictly applied so that most residents are persuaded to park in the
off-street parking facilities.

Parking and Transportation Policy


Authority's role has shifted from one of providing low-cost parking space to one of working with other municipa agencies to develop solutions regarding the movement of motor vehicles an element of the overall transporta tion system policies of a municipality
including a municipal parking pro gramme, which must evolve in such manner that it contributes to the the needs of the City's business and residential communities. Decisions by the Authority, therefore, are take only after close consultation with elected representatives and other civic officials and bodies such as police, transit, and planning authorities, busi associations and interested groups.


Top: Commuter carparks at Istington Subway terminal. Left: Off-street carpark No. 16 at Cumberland \& Belair. Above
Carpark No. 30 beside St. Lawrence Carpart

## ASSETS

| Current Assets: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash in bank and on hand including Term Deposits ........................... | \$ 3,292,192 |  |  |
| Accrued Interest on Deposits ..................................................... | 75,470 | \$ 3,367,662 |  |
| Accounts Receivable |  | 30,773 |  |
| Prepaid Expense ............................................................................... |  | 14,367 |  |
| Inventories ................................................................................. |  | 42,180 |  |
|  |  | \} | \$ 3,454,982 |
| Fixed Assets: |  |  |  |
| Car Parks - Lands and improvements |  |  |  |
| Projects under construction .................................................................... |  | 6,400,375 |  |
| Renovations of office premises and Furniture, Fixtures and Equipment . |  | 530,401 | 26,797,633 |
| Proceeds from sale of debentures held by the City ............................... |  |  | 254,617 |
| Proceeds from sale of property held by the City .................................. |  |  | 223,923 |

## Auditor's

I have examined the Balance Sheet of the Parking Authority of Toronto as at December 31, 1974 and the Statement of Revenue and Expenditure for the year ended on that date, and have obtained all the information and explanations I have required. My examination included a general review of the accounting procedures and such tests of accounting records and other supporting evidence as I considered necessary in the circumstances.
Toronto, ${ }^{\text {June } 6,1975}$


Note: Contingent Liabilities $\$ 106,000$.

## Opinion

In my opinion the accompanying Balance Sheet and Statement of Revenue and Expenditure present fairly the financial position of the Authority as at December 31, 1974 and the results of its operations for the year ended on that date in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles applied on a basis consistent with that of the preceding year.

John F. Connor, C.A.,

Statement of Revenue and Expenditure
for the year ended December 31, 1974

|  | \$6,506,338 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parking Area Expenses ........ | 4,294,733 | \$2,211,605 |
| Sundry Revenue ................................................................................................... |  | 644,000 |
|  |  | \$2,855,605 |
| Administration Expenses |  |  |
| Salaries and wages .. | \$ 502,001 |  |
| Rent and Utilities ... | 31,601 |  |
|  | 3,282 |  |
| Postage, stationery and office supplies | 15,862 |  |
| Drafting supplies, etc. | 1,374 |  |
| General Expense (incl. Special Surveys) .............................................................. | 123,866 |  |
| Travelling and Conference Expenses | 34,908 |  |
| Employee Welfare Plans .... | 82,256 |  |
| Honoraria .............................................................................................. | 8,251 |  |
| Legal | 5,974 |  |
| Advertising ... | 4,677 |  |
| Lease of Equipment ... | 10,964 |  |
| Furniture and Equipment ............................................................................... | 2,004 | 827,020 |
| Direct Operating Surplus ................................................................................. |  | \$2,028,585 |
| Debt Charges |  |  |
| - Debenture Debt Charges | \$ 195,594 |  |
| - Interest on funds advanced by the City ........................................................... | 30,125 |  |
|  | \$ 225,719 |  |
| Less: Interest earned on fully paid |  |  |
| Sinking Fund Deposits ...................................................................................... | 38,571 | 187,148 |
|  |  | \$1,841,437 |

## AUTHORITY'S SHARE

 $\stackrel{\text { DEBT }}{\text { CHARGES }}$3.1\%
$\square$
ADMINISTRATION EXPENSE


## HOW THE OPERATING DOLLAR WAS SPENT:



GROWTH / DECLINE OF
MUNICIPAL PARKING SPACES

PARKING AUTHORITY OF TORONTO CARPARKS
LOCATION AND CAPACITIES - 1974

CARPARK LOCATION
1 Hayden Street, east of Yonge
CAPACITY
2 Charles Street, east of Yonge $\begin{array}{r}153 \\ \cdots . . \\ \hline 87\end{array}$

Wellesley Street, east of Yong 87
121

12 Alvin Avenue, north of St. Clair Avenue...............
13 Delisle Avenue, west of Yonge 326
174
175 - 175

15 Yorkville - Cumberland Garage 21
1038
16 Cumberland Street, west of Bay $\begin{array}{r}\text { … } \quad 1038 \\ \cdots .7 \\ \hline .\end{array}$

Keele Street, south of Dundas ...
19 Pacific Avenue, south of Dundas
20 Cedarvale Avenue, north of Danfort
21 Amroth Avenue, south of Danforth
26 Queen - Victoria Garage .......
28 Pape Avenue, south of Danforth
29 Holly Street, south of Eglinton ............................
$32 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{E}$ corner of Bay Street and Lakeshore
Boulevard W. ................
33 Temperance Street, east of Bay .....................
34 Dundas Square at Victoria

36 Nathan Phillips Square Underground Garage 38 St. Patrick Street, south of Dundas
41 Norton Avenue, west of Dufferin $\qquad$
42 Elmwood Avenue, south of St. Clair
43 Esplanade Street, east of Yonge.
44 Fuller Avenue, north of Queen.
45 Broadview Avenue, north of Queen
47 Burnaby Blvd.,.west of Castle Knock
48 Lee Avenue, south of Queen
49 Roehampton Avenue, east of Yonge
52 University Avenue Underground Gar
53 Walnut Avenue, south of Richmond ..
55 Bedford Park Avenue, west of Yonge
58 Bedford Road, north of Bloor
62 S /E corner of Queen Street West and Abell Street ......................................................

CARPARK LOCATION
CAPACITY
63 S/W corner of Jarvis Street and Richmond Street East $\qquad$
$\qquad$
68 St. Andrews, west of Spadina
70 Central Library, College and St. George ........ 95
71 Bellevue Avenue, south of Nassau .
72 George Street, south of Front.
78 Erindale Avenue, east of Broadview ...............
79 Sherbourne Street, north of Carlton
80 Keele Street, north of Dundas ...
81 Lansdowne Avenue, north of Bloor
84 Salem Avenue, north of Bloor ..
85 Palmerston Avenue, north of Bloor
86 Spadina Road, north of Bloor
87 Chester Avenue, north of Danforth
88 Ferrier Avenue, north of Danforth
89 Lipton Avenue, east of Pape
90 Eaton Avenue, north of Danforth
91 Armadale Avenue, north of Bloo
93 Euclid Avenue, north of Bloor
93 Euclid Avenue, north of Bloor

98 Victoriare Boulevard, west of Donlands .......
99 N/E corner of Warden Ave. and St. Clair Ave.
nue East .
$\qquad$
Avenue, south of St. Clair 101 Cordova Avenue, west of Islington 102 Lomond Drive, north of Aberfoyle 103 Bloor Street, west of Islington ...
104 Ossington Avenue, north of B.....................
$105 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{E}$ corner of Richmond Stroor ................. $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{E}$ corner of
land Street.
106 Augut A ...................................................
106 Augusta Avenue, north of Queen...
$\qquad$ 108 Church Street, south of Esplanade ................. 109 Aberdeen Avenue, west of Parliament Street. 116 Kennedy Avenue/Glendonwynne Road .......... TOTAL - 77 Carparks 15,296 Spaces

- SPACES PROVIDED FOR MOTORISTS IN THE MIDTOWN AREA.
- SPACES LOCATED ON THE DOWNTOWN FRINGE FOR LONG
- SPACES PROVIDED FOR MOTORISTS DOING BUSINESS IN THE
- SPACES SERVING BUSINESS DISTRICTS AND SUBWAY STATIONS


CITY OF TORONTO


MUNICIPAL CARPARKS
SURFACE LOTS $\square$
GARAGES






THE PARKING AUTHORITY OF TORONTO
50 Cumberland Street, Toronto, Ontario M4W 1J5

