



Toronto Parking
Authority

Office des parcs de
stationnement de
Toronto

The Toronto Parking Authority exists to provide safe, attractive, self-sustaining, conveniently located and competitively priced off-street and on-street public parking as an integral component of Toronto's transportation system.

NOTICE OF MEETING AND AGENDA

A meeting of the Toronto Parking Authority will be held at **the Toronto Parking Authority Offices, located at 33 Queen Street East, 2nd Floor Boardroom at 4:30 PM on Thursday, September 15, 2005.**

AGENDA

- A. Declarations of Conflict of Interest.
- B. Confirmation of the minutes of the July 26, 2005 meeting.

Italicised items: Approval of these items will result in expenditure/receipt of funds

1.0 FINANCE & ADMINISTRATION

- 1.1 List of Cheques issued, for information only, and shown as Annex A. to this September 15, 2005 Agenda (vouchers 25722 – 29703).
- 1.2 Staff memorandum dated September 8, 2005, for information only, regarding the on-street revenue results for July 2005 and year to date.
- 1.3 Staff memorandum dated September 13, 2005, for information only, regarding the off-street revenue results to July 2005.
- 1.4 Staff memorandum dated September 8, 2005, for information only, regarding guidelines for the preparation of the 2006 Operating Budget.
- 1.5 Staff memorandum dated September 12, 2005, for information only, regarding the July 2005 operating results and 2005 projection.
- 1.6 Staff memorandum dated September 13, 2005 recommending that the 2006-2010 Capital Budget which totals \$187,863,000 in net expenditures be approved and submitted to the City of Toronto for Council approval. The Capital Budget was approved on September 8, 2005 by the Finance and Budget Committee of the Board after a detailed review and discussion.

33 Queen Street East
Toronto, Ontario
M5C 1R5
Tel: (416) 393-7275
Fax: (416) 393-7352
www.greenp.com

2.0 DESIGN & CONSTRUCTION

2.1 ***Staff memorandum dated August 26, 2005 recommending that:***

1. ***The contract for the Fire Alarm Upgrade for Carpark No. 43 at 2 Church Street be awarded to Zerem Electrical Services Ltd. for the sum total amount of \$249,000.00 plus GST; and,***
2. ***URS Canada Inc. be retained as Consultants for the Fire Alarm Upgrade at Carpark No. 43 for the sum total amount of \$44,000.00 plus GST.***

2.2 Staff memorandum dated September 6, 2005 requesting authorization for staff to poll the Board regarding tenders for 663 Gerrard Street East and 646 St. Clair Avenue West, sometime before the next Board meeting.

3.0 PARKING OPERATIONS

Off-Street

3.1 Staff memorandum dated September 8, 2005, for information only, entitled "Security Report, Vehicle Break-in Summary – Off-street Facilities".

5.0 IN-CAMERA

5.1 ***Staff memorandum dated September 12, 2005 regarding a proposed property acquisition for municipal parking purposes.***

5.2 ***Staff memorandum dated September 13, 2005 regarding two proposed property acquisitions for municipal parking purposes.***

5.3 ***Staff memorandum dated September 13, 2005 regarding a proposed property acquisition for municipal parking purposes.***

5.4 ***Staff memorandum dated September 13, 2005 regarding a Management Agreement.***

5.5 ***Staff memorandum dated September 13, 2005 regarding a proposed property acquisition for municipal parking purposes.***

5.6 ***Staff memorandum dated August 8, 2005 regarding an invoice received.***

IN-CAMERA (CONT'D)

5.7 **Staff memorandum dated August 25, 2005 regarding an invoice received.**

5.8 Staff memorandum dated August 29, 2005, for information only, regarding the remuneration for Directors of the City of Toronto's various corporate and commercial boards.

6.0 OTHER BUSINESS

6.1 Copies of the following articles recently appearing in local newspapers:

- 1) "Parking Fees net city some hefty cash"
"Parking revenues used to offset property tax increase"
"T.O. drivers feeding the meter"
"Parking meters pay off for city"
(Inside Toronto, September 9, 2005)
- 2) "Time on side of streetcar right-of-way foes" (Inside Toronto, September 2, 2005);
- 3) "2,000 tickets issued for impeding transit" (Globe and Mail, August 17, 2005);
- 4) "Club ends hip hop night due to area violence" (Toronto Sun, August 17, 2005);
- 5) "An angry cell call, then shots at 3 a.m." (Toronto Star, August 16, 2005); and,
- 6) "We can take more people, more cars: study" (Town Crier, August 3, 2005).

6.2 Discussion regarding the appointment of a Toronto Parking Authority representative to the Canadian National Exhibition Association for a term of one year commencing from October 27, 2005. The position has been held by Director John Maletich since 2002.

6.3 Copy of statements, for information only, by Shirley Hoy, City Manager and Toronto Mayor David Miller dated September 12, 2005 regarding the release of the Final Reports of the Toronto Computer Leasing Inquiry and the Toronto External Contracts Inquiry.



BOARD MEMORANDUM

TO: Maurice J. Anderson FILE NO: 4015-30
FROM: G.C. Daigle DATE: September 12, 2005
SUBJECT: JULY 2005 OPERATING RESULTS AND 2005 PROJECTION

MEETING DATE: September 15, 2005

RECOMMENDATION:

That this report be received for information only.

I have attached a summary of operating results through July 31, 2005 and a projection for 2005 (compared to the 2005 budget) based on these partial year actual results.

COMMENTS:

Many year-to-date ("YTD") budget variances are misleading because the budget is allocated monthly on a "best estimate" basis while actual expenditures may not occur on the same timeline. Also, for example, the operating wage expense through July 2005 includes a timing difference in that the amount of vacation earned exceeds that which was actually taken or paid. This effect normally reverses by the end of the year as employees take their vacation time but the effect causes the expense to appear to be over budget to date.

The projected annual shortage in gross revenue is an extrapolation of the July YTD shortfall which has been commented on in monthly reports to the board. The shortfall in gross revenue is \$3.07 million – the budget includes \$681,000 of gross revenue adjustments "forced" through during the City budget process which we did not believe we could achieve but which were added in order to make our 2005 budgeted net income agree with the 2004 amount. With this in mind we are approximately \$2.5 million short of a "true" gross revenue target.

The gross revenue shortfall is partially offset by a projected savings in expense and higher interest income totaling to almost \$900,000. The projected net income of \$40.7 million is \$2.187 million below budget. The reasons for these expected savings are detailed below.

2005 Annual Projection

Operating Expenses	Over (Under) Budget (\$'000's)
2005 Projection	47,512
2005 Budget	<u>47,981</u>
Under	<u>(469)</u>
 Reasons:	
<u>Off-street Accounts</u>	
Student wages (scheduling efficiencies & automation)	(240)
Full time maintenance (overtime)	35
Rent (revenues down)	(129)
Credit card processing fees - new fee agreement	(150)
Major maintenance	230
Maintenance – other	(165)
Tickets (revenue down)	(45)
<u>On-street Accounts</u>	
Credit card processing fees - new fee agreement	(120)
Student monitoring station wages - not budgeted	23
POF repairs (CP 1&11 upgraded in July – budgeted assumed earlier in year)	110
Vehicle costs (increase in fuel costs)	20
Tickets (revenue down)	(12)
Net of all other expenses - under budget	<u>(26)</u>
	<u>(469)</u>

2005 Annual Projection

Administrative Expenses	Over (Under) Budget
	(\$'000's)
2005 Projection	6,158
2005 Budget	<u>6,300</u>
Under	<u><u>(142)</u></u>
Reasons:	
Salary gapping & vacation timing	(175)
Outside software programming - additional work	39
New banking agreement	(25)
Sick expense up	40
Net of all other expenses - under budget	<u>(21)</u>
	<u><u>(142)</u></u>
Sundry Revenue	Over (Under) Budget
	(\$'000's)
2005 Projection	2,670
2005 Budget	<u>2,397</u>
Over	<u><u>273</u></u>
Reasons:	
Increased interest (investment base higher & better rate with new bank)	333
Miscellaneous rental income down (mainly 1501 Bayview)	(50)
Net of all other sundry revenues - under budget	<u>(10)</u>
	<u><u>273</u></u>



BOARD MEMORANDUM

TO: Maurice J. Anderson FILE NO: 4010-32
FROM: G.C. Daigle DATE: September 13, 2005
SUBJECT: **2006-2010 CAPITAL BUDGET**

MEETING DATE: September 15, 2005

RECOMMENDATION:

That the 2006-2010 Capital Budget which totals \$187,863,000 in net expenditures be approved and submitted to the City of Toronto for Council approval. The budget was approved on September 8, 2005 by the Finance and Budget Committee after a detailed review and discussion.

BACKGROUND:

The following schedules are attached for review prior to consideration and approval.

1. **B1 - Detailed list of projects** ("2006-2010 Capital Budget Summary") showing estimated expenditures by year
2. **Project descriptions** with commentary on the justification and details of individual projects
3. **B4 - 5 Year Expenditure & Funding Source Analysis** – this is a cashflow for the 5 year capital program
4. **B5 - 5 Year Projection of Profits** – this details the projected operating profits to be retained by the TPA for funding of the capital program
5. **Status of Prior Approved Projects** – from 2005 and earlier years

PROCESS

1. Areas in the city where the demand for parking spaces exceeds the parking supply are identified through TPA planning department studies.
2. The number of deficient spaces in each area is quantified and the TPA development staff actively search for available sites either by acquiring land or investigating joint ventures with the private sector. For the purpose of preparing the budget estimates of what land could be purchased for and then developed are provided by the development and construction/design staff. In the future when a potential site is identified the potential income from a carpark is estimated and a return is calculated. The benchmark return is approximately what we would forego if the funds were left earning investment income.

3. When the projects and related costs have been identified and quantified (Schedule B1) future annual profits are estimated (Schedule B5) based on these projects. These future profits are the primary funding source for the capital program expenditures.
4. Finally the projects and funding are combined in a cashflow (Schedule B4) to determine if sufficient funding is available over the 5 year period to carry out the capital program.

COSTS & FUNDING – DETAILED DISCUSSION

The cashflow (B 4) condenses as follows:

Projected opening reserves available at January 1, 2006	24,611,000
5 years of operating profits	90,217,000
5 years of interest in OSPF	2,785,000
Expenditures for capital projects	(123,652,000)
Projected ending shortfall in reserves @ December 31, 2010	<u><u>(6,039,000)</u></u>

The result is that we are short of funding by approximately \$6 million. The excess reserves at the end of the 5 year period should be at least \$4-5 million to cover short term working capital needs in addition to capital program expenditures. Therefore, there are not sufficient excess funds at this time to return any money to the City in the form of a one-time contribution. However the City continues to receive approximately \$34+ million per year as their share of our net operating income.

GCD:tt
Attach.



BOARD MEMORANDUM

TO: Maurice J. Anderson FILE NO: 5043-39
FROM: Amir Nathoo DATE: August 26, 2005
SUBJECT: **Municipal Carpark No. 43 – 2 Church Street
Fire Alarm Upgrade**

MEETING DATE: September 15, 2005

RECOMMENDATION:

1. **To award the contract for the Fire Alarm Upgrade for Carpark No. 43 at 2 Church Street to Zerem Electrical Services Ltd. for the amount of \$228,504.67 plus \$20,495.33 as contingency allowance, being sum total amount of \$249,000 plus GST.**
 2. **To retain URS Canada Inc. as Consultants for the Fire Alarm Upgrade at Carpark No. 43 for the amount of \$38,300 plus \$2,250 as disbursement plus \$3,450 as contingency allowance being the sum total of \$44,000 plus GST.**
-

BACKGROUND:

1. The fire alarms panel (Notifier 5000 System) at the Toronto Community Housing Corporation (TCHC) condominium at 55 The Esplanade is installed in the lobby of the condominium. The system provides visual and audible signals for devices that are installed in the condominium and the TPA parking garage or Carpark No. 43. In addition to fire alarm devices, the supervisory valves that are installed for the dry "standpipe" system (for TPA garage) are also connected to the fire alarm system panel.
2. TCHC condominium retained a consultant and a contractor to carry out certain modifications or upgrade to the fire alarm system of the condominium building. When requesting the approval of the upgraded system from the Fire Department, they were informed that the entire system must be upgraded to facilitate the approval.
3. In October 2004 we were first informed by TCHC about their completed project and the requirement by Fire Department that TPA carpark must also be upgraded. We were never consulted prior to this meeting nor were we informed of the proposed upgrade completed even though our systems were integrated. Please see TPA letter dated February 16, 2005 attached.

4. TPA retained URS Canada Inc. as their own Consultant to investigate the problem and to carryout the Condition Assessment of the Fire Alarm System, and to review TPA options with the Fire Department and TCHC. On April 8, 2005, a meeting was also scheduled with Mr. Daniel Watson of Fire Prevention Department concerning installation of a separate Fire Alarm System for TPA garage. On April 12 2005, we were informed that Captain Craig would not approve two separate fire alarm systems for the complex.
5. In view of Captain Craig's decision, URS Canada Inc. completed its review and feasibility study, and prepared tender documents to upgrade the existing fire alarm system in TPA-managed garage area to ensure the compatibility and compliance with the requirements. Please note some of the TPA conduits and wires were in poor condition and sometimes the system was activated unnecessarily. The proposed TPA fire alarm upgrade includes the following:
 - .1 replacement of all existing conduits, wiring and fire alarm devices;
 - .2 verification and testing of existing tamper and low pressure switches and supervisory devices in garage areas; and
 - .3 garage areas, which were not adequately covered in the past by the existing fire alarm system, were also added.
6. Eight (8) electrical contractors were invited to submit their bids. Three (3) tenders received are listed below in the ascending order without the GST.

1	Zerem Electrical Services Ltd. (ZES)	\$228,504.67
2	Bally Mechanical Contractors Inc. (BMC)	\$362,500
3	NVK Inc. Electrical Contractors (NVK)	\$372,897.20

7. The Consultant's review, recommendation and bid comparison sheet, dated July 26, 2005, is attached. Summary of the Consultant's review is as follows:
 - .1 all bidders provided complete information;
 - .2 the consultant met with the low bidder Zerem and checked their references;
 - .3 Zerem's bid is close to the Consultant's cost estimate;
 - .4 Mr. Zahram, Principal of Zerem, confirmed that their stipulated tendered price is accurate and meets all requirements of specification, drawings and addendum; and
 - .5 the consultant recommends that the contract be awarded to Zerem Electrical Services Ltd.
8. The Consultant concludes that based on their meeting with Zerem, they are convinced that Zerem Electrical Services Ltd. is qualified and have the required skills, resources, and experience to satisfactorily complete the project. We concur with the Consultant.

FINANCIAL BACKGROUND

.1 Projects re-scheduled to 2006 year to make funds available under Maintenance Project for this project			
	Carpark No. 11 – Lighting upgrade	\$250,000	
	Carpark No. 163 – Floor leak repairs	\$100,000	
	Sub-total	\$350,000	\$350,000
.2 Consultant			
	Investigation Fees	\$38,300	
	Disbursement	\$2,250	
	Contingency	\$3,450	
	Sub-total	\$44,000	(\$44,000)
.3 Construction Cost			
	Zerem’s Tender	\$228,504.67	
	Contingency Allowance	\$20,495.33	
	Sub-Total	\$249,000	(\$249,000)
.4 Funds remaining for other projects			\$57,000

Please note that two projects (Lighting upgrade at Carpark No. 11 - \$250,000 and Repairs of floor leaks for Carpark No. 163 - \$100,000) under 2005 maintenance budget will now be completed next year so that these funds could be used for this Fire Alarm Upgrade project.

AN/ae



BOARD MEMORANDUM

TO: Maurice J. Anderson FILE NO: 1179.00
FROM: Lorne Persiko DATE: September 6, 2005
SUBJECT: **663 GERRARD ST. EAST & 646 ST. CLAIR AVE. WEST**

MEETING DATE: September 15, 2005

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the Toronto Parking Authority ("TPA") authorize staff to poll the board regarding tenders for 663 Gerrard St East and at 646 St. Clair Ave. West, sometime before the next board meeting.

PURPOSE:

To obtain approval for TPA staff to poll the board regarding tenders for a contract to demolish a one-storey commercial building at 663 Gerrard St East, and to demolish a one-storey garage at 646 St. Clair Ave. West, before the next board meeting.

BACKGROUND:

663 Gerrard St. East

At its meeting on February 24, 2005, the TPA Board approved the purchase of a one-storey retail building at 663 Gerrard St. East in the East Chinatown Area. Subsequently, approval was also received from the City at its Council Meeting on July 19, 20, 21 and 26, 2005. As the TPA is legally the owner of this wall, and it poses a liability due to its poor condition and is an eyesore in the community. Demolition of the building at 663 Gerrard St. East, and subsequently the wall; is hoped to be completed before the end of 2005.

646 St Clair Ave. West

At its meeting on June 14, 2005, the TPA Board approved the purchase of 646 St Clair Ave. West. Approval was also received from the City at its Council Meeting on July 19, 20, 21 and 26, 2005. There is a narrow laneway behind the funeral home connecting Pinewood Ave. with the adjacent parking lot. Currently the existing laneway is narrow and a solution to widen the laneway will involve the demolition of the single storey garage at the rear of the funeral home. With the garage demolished, a sufficient right of way will be provided.

COMMENTS:

Due to timing concerns the selection of a tender cannot wait until approval at the next board meeting, which will not be until October 1, 2005. Instead, staff will poll the board members once bids have been received by the established deadline.



BOARD MEMORANDUM

TO: Maurice J. Anderson FILE NO: 5000-200
FROM: Gwyn Thomas DATE: September 8, 2005
SUBJECT: **Security Report, Vehicle
Break-In Summary - Off-Street Facilities**

MEETING DATE: Thursday, September 15, 2005

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that this memorandum be received for information purposes.

BACKGROUND:

The Toronto Parking Authority has established and implemented security patrols in its parking facilities with a view to the eradication of criminal activity with a specific emphasis on the occurrence of vehicle break-ins. Attached is a copy of the Monthly/Year-to-Date summary of vehicle break-ins for Toronto Parking Authority off-street parking facilities for the months of January, February, March, April, May and June 2005. The following summarizes those monthly reports:

January 2005

In January 2005, 18,037 or 4.42% fewer vehicles parked in these facilities than in January 2004. There were 44 vehicle break-in occurrences in January 2005, 7 or 19% more than in January 2004 when there were 37 occurrences. In January 2005 there were 1.13 break-ins per 10,000 vehicles parked in these facilities versus 0.91/10,000 in January 2004. **There were five (5) arrests in January 2005.**

February 2005

In February 2005, 28,583 or 6.64% fewer vehicles parked in these facilities than in February 2004. There were 25 vehicle break-in occurrences in February 2005, a reduction of 18 occurrences or 42% compared to February 2004 when there were 43 occurrences.

The Year to Date summary indicates that there were 69 vehicle break-ins that occurred up to February 2005, 14% or 11 occurrences fewer than experienced up to February 2004 when there were 80. In February 2005 there were 0.87 break-ins per 10,000 vehicles parked in these facilities versus 0.95/10000 in February 2004. **There were eight (8) arrests in February 2005.**

March 2005

In March 2005, 15,652 or 3.44% fewer vehicles parked in these facilities than in March 2004. There were 37 vehicle break-in occurrences in March 2005, a reduction of 29 occurrences or 44% when compared to March 2004 when there were 66 occurrences.

The Year to Date summary indicates that there were 106 vehicle break-ins up to March 2005, 27% or 40 fewer occurrences than up to March 2004 when there were 146. In March 2005 there were 0.86 break-ins per 10,000 vehicles parked in these facilities versus 1.13/10,000 in March 2004. **There were three (3) arrests in March 2005.**

First Quarter Summary

In January, February and March 2005 statistics indicate that vehicle break-ins in our facilities have been significantly reduced. Year-to-date statistics show that there were 40 or 27% fewer occurrences than for the same period in 2004; in 2005 there were 106 occurrences versus 146 in 2004.

As indicated, in January 2005 there was a increase in the number of break-ins over last year at Carpark 36-City Hall. There were a number of issues related to Nathan Phillips Square that contributed to this however through more focused security enforcement four (4) arrests were made in the facility in early February.

There were sixteen (16) arrests in the first quarter of 2005.

April 2005

In April 2005, 9,318 or 2.12% fewer vehicles parked in these facilities than in April 2004. There were 15 vehicle break-in occurrences in April 2005, 87 or 85% fewer than in April 2004 when there were 102 occurrences.

The Year to Date summary indicates that there were 121 vehicle break-ins that occurred up to the end of April 2005, 127 or 51% fewer occurrences than experienced up to April 2004 when there were 248. In April 2005 there were 0.73 break-ins per 10,000 vehicles parked in these facilities versus 1.43 per 10,000 in April 2004. **There were five (5) arrests in the month of April.**

May 2005

In May 2005, 4,409 or 1.00% fewer vehicles parked in these facilities than in May 2004. There were 39 vehicle break-in occurrences in May 2005, a reduction of 9 occurrences or 19% when compared to May 2004 when there were 48 occurrences.

The Year to Date summary indicates that there were 160 vehicle break-ins that occurred up to May 2005, 46% or 136 occurrences fewer than experienced up to May 2004 when there were 296. In May 2005 there were 0.76 break-ins per 10,000 vehicles parked in these facilities versus 1.36 per 10,000 in May 2004. **There were four (4) arrests in the month of May.**

June 2005

In June 2005, 31,622 or 7.16% fewer vehicles parked in these facilities than in June 2004. There were 21 vehicle break-in occurrences in June 2005, a reduction of 28 occurrences or 57% when compared to June 2004 when there were 49 occurrences.

The Year to Date summary indicates that there were 181 vehicle break-ins up to June 2005, 48% or 164 fewer occurrences than up to June 2004 when there were 345. . In June 2005 there were 0.71 break-ins per 10,000 vehicles parked in these facilities versus 1.32/10,000 in June 2004. **There were three (3) arrests in the month of June.**

Second Quarter Summary

These statistics continue to clearly indicate that criminal activity in our facilities has been significantly reduced. Year-to-date statistics indicate that there were 164 or 48% fewer occurrences than for the same period in 2004; in 2005 there were 1818 occurrences versus 345 in 2004. Essentially vehicle break-ins have been reduced to the equivalent of one per day.

There have been twenty-eight (28) arrests to date in 2005.



BOARD MEMORANDUM

TO: Maurice J. Anderson FILE NO: 4096-30
FROM: Ian Maher DATE: September 13, 2005
SUBJECT: July 2005 Year-To-Date Revenue Results

MEETING DATE: September 15, 2005

RECOMMENDATION:

That this report be received for information.

BACKGROUND:

The July 2005 revenue results were the subject of our September 12, 2005 presentation to the City's Economic Development Committee meeting. I have attached a copy of the presentation.

Generally, the July results were consistent with the trend over the past seven months, with the off-street results under-performing the budget projection by about 4%. The details are contained in the presentation materials.

Ian Maher
Attachment



BOARD MEMORANDUM

TO: Maurice J. Anderson FILE NO: 4015-31
FROM: G.C. Daigle DATE: September 8, 2005
SUBJECT: GUIDELINES FOR THE 2006 OPERATING BUDGET

MEETING DATE: September 15, 2005

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the following guidelines be applied in preparing the 2006 operating budget.

Wages – Union Personnel

The union contract was renewed for 4 years starting April 1, 2004. A wage increase of 3.25% is effective for contract years starting April 1, 2005 and April 1, 2006.

Salaries – Administration

Individual staff salaries are set within a salary range for each position based on merit. An increase of 3.5% will be applied to the salary ranges for 2006. Donnelly Associates expects salary increases for 2006 to run about 3.5 - 4.0%. A separate independent survey cited an expected salary increase of 3.3% for 2006.

Fringe Benefits

There is no anticipated change in LTD premiums. Dental/medical expense is on a cost-plus basis and claims will continue to be closely monitored. Based on input from our benefits carrier an increase of 9-10 % in dental and 18% in drug costs should be budgeted for 2006. CPP and UI premiums (government imposed) will be budgeted to increase 2% based on prior years' experience.

OMERS premiums in 2006 are anticipated to increase to 6.5% and 9.6% (below/above YMPE) from previous rates of 6.0% and 8.8% respectively. The anticipated increase was communicated in the July 2005 OMERS Member Update newsletter.

Municipal Property Taxes

Property taxes for 2006 will be budgeted assuming that there will be a 2% increase over 2005. The final tax expense increase may be different based on the assessed value which is updated on an annual basis by the Municipal Property Assessment Corporation. The 2006 assessment value is not available in time for budget preparation purposes.

Other Expense

Where possible other expense account budgets for 2006 will be compiled by budgeting for specific items using current pricing (example - individual major maintenance projects).

Where general increase factors are applied to arrive at budgeted amounts we will apply the City of Toronto's economic factor guidelines for cost increases in the following items:

- Water - 7.0%
- Telephone - 0.0%

For other accounts (where it is not possible to either budget for specific items or unique economic factors are not available) a general inflationary increase 2% will be applied to arrive at 2006 amounts. This is the same as the City's general CPI factor. If we are aware of planned supplier cost changes for certain supplies we will apply those costs.

Parking Revenue

Rates applied to off-street facilities will be those in effect in July 2005 and potential rates increases thereafter will not be factored in. Revenue is budgeted by individual carpark.

Similarly, rates for on-street meter routes are those in effect in July 2005. The meter revenue will reflect any new meter locations approved by City Council.

Carparks Closing

<u>Lot #</u>	<u>Location</u>	<u>Month Closed</u>	<u># Spaces</u>
222	Skydome Bus Parking	closed March 2005	40
417	Pemberton road allowance	expected spring 2006 (when NY Centre lot opens)	10

Actual & Expected Carpark Openings

<u>Lot #</u>	<u>Location</u>	<u>Opening Month</u>	<u># Spaces</u>
529	Parks lot – Powerhouse	May 2005	210
602	Bayview/Millwood	June 2005	25
708	Scarborough Civic Centre	June 2005	120
225	80 Clinton	July 2005	25
650	2 Ellesmere Rd	July 2005	36
226	646 St. Clair West	August 2005	18
227	105 Spadina	September 2005	23
229 *	North York Centre (Yonge/Bishop)	expected July 2006	12
224	Hanna Ave	expected November 2005	185
517	15 Primrose	expected July 2006	13
179	Extension to CP 179	expected July 2006	7

* = Expected carpark number assignment



BOARD MEMORANDUM

TO: Maurice J. Anderson FILE NO: 3500-10
 FROM: Gwyn Thomas DATE: September 8, 2005
 SUBJECT: **On-Street Revenue Results**

MEETING DATE: **Thursday, September 15, 2005**

RECOMMENDATION:

That this report be received for information purposes.

BACKGROUND:

In previous reports the Board has been informed that through the deliberations of the Budget Review Committee the Authority's initial 2005 budget estimate of \$35,500,000 for the on street parking program had been increased to \$36,321,000. Attached please find a summary of the adjustments made by the Budget Revenue Committee for the on-street program and a reconciliation of the submitted/adjusted budget as approved by the Board and Council.

The recorded revenue year to date in July 2005 is \$20,087,738.98, \$420,013 under the revised budget estimate of \$20,507,752 for this period. Essentially this shortfall is attributable the adjustments introduced by the Budget Review Committee. The following chart illustrates that when the year-to-date revenue in July is compared to the Authority's initial agreed to budget number of \$35,640,000, the performance of the on street program is extremely close to our budget target.

Month	Board Approved			Council Approved		
	2005 Budget	2005 Actual	Difference	2005 Budget	2005 Actual	Difference
January	\$2,460,287.96	2,336,738.86	-\$123,549.10	\$2,505,250.00	2,336,738.86	-\$168,511.14
February	\$2,538,502.82	2,660,652.61	\$122,149.79	\$2,585,274.00	2,660,652.61	\$75,378.61
March	\$3,013,494.89	3,108,239.53	\$94,744.64	\$3,071,251.00	3,108,239.53	\$36,988.53
April	\$3,034,181.20	3,044,428.66	\$10,247.46	\$3,092,416.00	3,044,428.66	-\$47,987.34
May	\$3,057,470.05	3,060,680.67	\$3,210.62	\$3,116,243.00	3,060,680.67	-\$55,562.33
June	\$3,190,788.36	3,103,441.47	-\$87,346.89	\$3,252,645.00	3,103,441.47	-\$149,203.53
July	\$2,831,134.26	2,773,557.18	-\$57,577.08	\$2,884,673.00	2,773,557.18	-\$111,115.82
Total	\$20,125,859.52	\$20,087,738.98	-\$38,120.54	\$20,507,752.00	\$20,087,738.98	-\$420,013.02